

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 ARMOND FARIS, on behalf of himself and all others
11 similarly situated,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.
14

15 NETFLIX, INC., WAL-MART STORES, INC., and
16 WALMART.COM USA LLC,

17 Defendants.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 09-cv-0180 EDL

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER CASES
SHOULD BE RELATED**

1 On January 20, 2009, Plaintiff in *Faris v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 09-cv-0180 EDL, filed
2 an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related, pursuant to Local Rule 3-
3 12. The Court, having considered the papers and pleadings on file, and good cause appearing,
4 HEREBY GRANTS the motion.

5 IT IS ORDERED that *Faris v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 09-cv-0180 EDL, is hereby related
6 to *Resnick, et al. v. Walmart.com USA LLC*, Case No. 09-cv-0002 PJH.

7 *Faris v. Netflix, Inc., et al.* shall be reassigned to the undersigned judge pursuant to local Rule
8 3-12(f).

9
10 Dated: _____

11 By: _____
12 The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28